DUQUESNE UNIVERSITY School of Pharmacy

INTRODUCTION

- Cystic fibrosis (CF) is a progressive, genetic disease primarily affecting the respiratory, digestive and reproductive organ systems
- Every year, over 1,000 new CF cases are diagnosed in the United States (US) and in 2017, more than 30,000 individuals were reportedly living with CF in the US
- CFTR modulator therapies, the most advanced CF treatments, act by improving production, intracellular processing, and/or function of the defective CFTR protein. This helps in symptom management and slowing disease progression. However, CFTR modulator therapies are very expensive
- Literature in other chronic diseases provide evidence linking medication nonadherence with adverse clinical outcomes and higher healthcare utilization
- Medication adherence is defined as "the degree to which the person's behavior corresponds with the agreed recommendations from a health care provider"
- Measures to calculate adherence based on the pharmacy data include medication possession ratio (MPR) and proportion of days covered (PDC). The formulae for calculating these are as follows: MPR = (Sum of days' supply for all fills in the period/ Number of days in period) * 100 PDC = (Number of days in period "covered"/Number of days in period) * 100

OBJECTIVE

- To calculate medication adherence for CFTR modulator therapies using prescription refill data
- To identify reasons for missed doses, medication discontinuation, and CFTR modulator therapy-related adverse events using patient reports

METHODS

- The study was a retrospective analysis of a national specialty pharmacy prescription refill data for CFTR modulator therapies
- Medication name and refill dates from September 2017 till August 2018 (one year data) were utilized to calculate PDC as the adherence measurement, which was further categorized using age (children/adolescents or adults) and insurance characteristics (primary insurance only or primary and secondary insurance)
- PDC was calculated only for patients who haven't switched CFTR modulator therapies in a year
- PDC values were compared across different categories using a t-test with a significance level of $p \leq 1$ 0.05.
- Routine patient assessments using surveys were conducted by the specialty pharmacy and information regarding medication switching, discontinuation, missed doses and any adverse events associated with CFTR modulator therapies were collected
- Responses of these patient assessments from May 2015 to August 2018 were extracted and analyzed to calculate the frequency of each response
- Statistical analyses were conducted using Statistical Analysis System University Edition (SAS Institute; Cary, NC)

School of Pharmacy

Adherence to Cystic Fibrosis Transmembrane Conductance Regulator (CFTR) Modulator Therapies: Analysis of National Specialty Pharmacy Database

Zumi Mehta¹, Khalid M Kamal¹, Richard Miller², Jordan Covvey¹, Vincent Giannetti¹ ¹Duquesne University School of Pharmacy, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; ²AllianceRx Walgreens Prime, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences

- The average PDC calculated across all categorizations exceeded the threshold of 80% with tezacaftor/ivacaftor + ivacaftor having the highest PDC (>91%) across all subgroups
- Children/ adolescents on lumacaftor/ivacaftor (p-value=0.0001) and tezacaftor/ivacaftor + ivacaftor (pvalue=0.001) had significantly higher PDC values when compared to adults
- Majority of patients reported not missing doses and among those who missed doses, "forgetfulness" and "too busy" were the most frequent reasons reported for missing doses
- Patients reported "switching of medications" (74.90%) as the most frequent reason for discontinuing medications and lumacaftor/ivacaftor (62.69%) was reported to be discontinued the most.
- Majority of patients on all three CFTR modulator therapies did not report any side effects

Table 4: Discontinued medications

Question	Which m disco	edication was ontinued?	Question	Question Why was the m discontinu		Ouestions	What side effects, if any, are you experiencing with your ivacaftor?		What side effects, if any, are you experiencing with your lumacaftor/ivacaftor ?		What side effects, if any, are you experiencing with your tezacaftor/ivacaftor +		
Pochonco	Frequency Percent		Response	n=247	(%)	Questions							
Response	n=327	(%)	Switched medication	185	74.90		J J J J J J J J J J	,				ivacattor?	
Ivacaftor	62	18.96	Other	23	9.31		Frequency	Percent (%)	Frequency n= 14474	Percent (%)	Frequency n= 1699	Percent (%)	
Lumacaftor/ivacaftor	205	62.69	Uncertain	22	8.91		n= 9823						
Tezacaftor/ivacaftor + ivacaftor	10	3.06	Side effects	12	4.86	None	8947	96.36	13531	93.48	1412	82.96	
	10		Lab abnormalities	2	0.81								
Non-CFTR modulator therapies		15.29	Administration difficulties	1	0.40	Not filling	156	1.68	537	3.71	197	11.57	
	50		Allergic reaction	1	0.40	ulug louay							
			Financial issues	1	0.40	Other	97	1.04	152	1.05	57	3.35	

- are required to validate these assumptions.

RESULTS

Table 1: PDC calculated for one year (September 2017- August 2018)

	Overall		Children/ Adolescents		Adults			Individuals with only Primary Insurance		Individuals with Primary and Secondary Insurance		
	n	Mean ± SD (%)	n	Mean ± SD (%)	n	Mean ± SD (%)	p-value*	n	Mean ± SD (%)	n	Mean ± SD (%)	p-value**
Total	2548	86 ± 15	1075	86 ± 14	1473	85 ± 15	0.0876	1564	86 ± 15	984	86 ± 15	1.00
Ivacaftor	789	84 ± 16	330	85 ± 15	459	84 ± 16	0.3744	455	84 ± 16	334	84 ± 16	1.00
Lumacaftor/ivacaftor	1361	84 ± 15	684	86 ± 14	677	83 ± 15	0.0001	869	85 ± 15	492	84 ± 15	0.24
Tezacaftor/ivacaftor + ivacaftor	398	92 ± 11	61	96 ± 10	337	91 ± 11	0.001	240	92 ± 12	158	92 ± 11	1.00

*Comparing children/adolescents versus adults using t-test

Table 2: Frequency of missing doses

Questions	How frequently did you miss doses of lumacaftor/ivacaftor in the past 28 days?		How frequently did you miss doses of ivacaftor in the past 28 days?		Question	What causes you to miss your ivacaftor?		What causes you to miss your lumacaftor/ivacaftor ?		What causes you to miss your tezacaftor/ivacaftor + ivacaftor?	
					Response	Frequency n= 802	Percent (%)	Frequency n= 1147	Percent (%)	Frequency n= 54	Percent (%)
Response	Frequency n=25840	Percent (%)	Frequency n=14905	Percent (%)	Forgetfulness	366	45.64	688	59.98	29	53.70
					Too busy/Unable to fit into daily routine	157	19.58	222	19.35	6	11.11
0	24443	92.23	13985	93.83	Vacation/Away from home	34	4.24	81	7.06	1	1.85
1 to 5	1273	4 80	686	4.60	Insurance issues (Prior Auth)	36	4.49	76	6.63	1	1.85
1 10 5	1273	4.00	000	4.00	Infection/Illness	32	3.99	38	3.31		
6 to 11	55	0.21	47	0.32	Administration difficulties	2	0.25	7	0.61	2	3.70
12 or more	69	0.26	33	0.22	Side effects	2	0.25	7	0.61	1	1.85
Not filling	509	1.92	150	1.01	Other (document in comments section below)	117	14.59			13	24.07
Unsure	153	0.58	4	0.03	Lapse in access or waiting for delivery	38	4.74			1	1.85

Table 5: Reasons for discontinuing medications

ption provided if the patient is not filling any particular medication during an assessmen Responses reported only with frequencies >1%

CONCLUSIONS

Compared to PDC values reported for patients with CF in the literature, the PDC values of the CFTR modulator therapy regimen calculated for the specialty pharmacy population demonstrated good adherence Using self-reports, patients taking CFTR modulator therapies reported low frequency of missing doses and adverse events, thus supporting adherence data calculated using PDC values While outside the scope of the research, potential reasons for increased adherence in children/adolescents compared to adults may be attributed to parental monitoring of timely consumption and refilling of CFTR modulator therapies Similarly, the overall high adherence values could also be attributed to the specialty pharmacy CF program, which provides regular reminders for refilling CFTR modulator therapies and counselling on medication adherence. Future studies

Author Contact: Dr. Khalid M. Kamal (kamalk@duq.edu) | References available on request

Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences

Walgreens + PRIME

duq.edu/pharmacy

** Comparing individuals with only primary insurance versus individuals with primary and secondary insurance using t-test

Table 3: Reasons for missing doses

Table 6: Side effects reported for CFTR modulator therapies[#]